arXiv:1304.3710v1 [math.FA] 12 Apr 2013

WEAK AND CYCLIC AMENABILITY FOR FOURIER ALGEBRAS OF CONNECTED LIE GROUPS

Y.CHOI AND M. GHANDEHARI

12th April 2013

Abstract

Using techniques of non-abelian harmonic analysis, we construct explicit, non-zero cyclic derivations on the Fourier algebras of the $ax + b$ group and the reduced Heisenberg group, proving in particular that neither algebra is weakly amenable. Using the structure theory of Lie groups, we deduce that the Fourier algebras of semisimple Lie groups also support non-zero, cyclic derivations and are likewise not weakly amenable. Our results complement earlier work of Johnson, Plymen and Forrest–Samei–Spronk, whose methods do not directly apply to the examples considered here.

MSC 2010: Primary 43A30; Secondary 46J10, 47B47.

1 Introduction

Background context and history

The study of derivations from Banach algebras into Banach bimodules has a long history. In many cases, where the algebra consists of functions on some manifold or well-behaved subset of Euclidean space, and the target bimodule is symmetric, continuous derivations can be constructed as weighted averages of "usual" derivatives of functions. This leads to examples where the algebra does not admit any non-zero continuous 'point' derivations, yet admits a non-zero continuous derivation into *some* symmetric Banach bimodule; this is often a manifestation of some kind of vestigial analytic structure or Hölder continuity of functions in the algebra. Commutative Banach algebras which admit no non-zero, continuous derivations into *any* symmetric Banach bimodule are said to be weakly amenable: the terminology was introduced by W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis Jr. and H. G. Dales in [2], where they studied some key examples in detail.

One natural class of function algebras not considered in [2] is the class of Fourier algebras of locally compact groups, first defined in full generality by P. Eymard in [4]. Fourier algebras never admit any non-zero, continuous point derivations: this follows from e.g. [4, (4.11)]. Moreover, if G is a locally compact abelian group, its Fourier algebra $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is isomorphic via the classical Fourier transform to the convolution algebra $L^1(\widehat{G})$, and so by results of B. E. Johnson it is *amenable*, hence weakly amenable ([11, Proposition 8.2]).

Now a recurring theme in abstract harmonic analysis, and the study of Fourier algebras in particular, is the hope that known results for LCA groups can be generalized in a natural way to the class of amenable groups. It was therefore something of a surprise when Johnson, in [12], constructed a non-zero bounded derivation from $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}))$ to its dual. Subsequently, using the structure theory of semisimple Lie algebras, R. J. Plymen observed [15] that Johnson's construction can be transferred to yield non-zero continuous derivations on $\mathrm{A}(G)$ for any non-abelian, compact, connected Lie group. This was extended further by B. E. Forrest, E. Samei and N. Spronk in [8], who showed using structure theory for compact groups that Plymen's result remains valid if one drops the word "Lie".

The non-compact, connected case has received relatively little attention. The articles [15] and [8] ultimately rely on locating closed copies of $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ inside the group in question, and then transporting Johnson's derivation along the corresponding restriction homomorphism of Fourier algebras. Indeed, as far as the present authors are aware, all results to date which show that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ fails to be weakly amenable only work for those G containing compact, connected, non-abelian subgroups. This has left open several natural examples, such as the "real $ax + b$ group" (to be defined precisely in Section 4), or certain semisimple Lie groups such as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and its covering groups.

Précis of our results

In this paper we construct explicit, non-zero, continuous derivations on the Fourier algebras of the real $ax + b$ group (Section 4) and the reduced Heisenberg group (Section 5), neither of which contain compact connected non-abelian subgroups. As with the example constructed in[12], our derivations are also *cyclic*, that is, they satisfy the identity $D(a)(b) = -D(b)(a)$ for all a and b in the algebra. Our approach is different to that of [8], and proceeds by exploiting orthogonality relations for coefficient functions of irreducible representations. To motivate our approach, we revisit Johnson's original construction in [12] and give a slightly different presentation of how the desired norm bounds can be obtained (Section 3). It seems to have gone under-emphasised that the construction is very concrete and can be explained independently of other, harder results in [12].

It is crucial for our purposes that in both cases, one has an explicit description of the irreducible unitary representations of the group, and that these see enough of the left regular representation in some sense. Indeed, our original motivation for considering these cases was that their Fourier algebras admit convenient decompositions analogous to those of compact groups. For more general connected groups, we cannot expect to have such detailed knowledge of the unitary dual (and for non-Type I groups, we cannot hope for a well-behaved decomposition of the Fourier algebra in terms of irreducible representations). However, by combining our results for the $ax+b$ group with some structure theory for connected Lie groups, we show that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ fails to be weakly amenable if G is a semisimple, connected Lie group. Further structure theory allows us to deduce that if G is a simply connected Lie group whose Fourier algebra is weakly amenable, then G must be solvable (and satisfy some extra conditions). Details are given in Section 6.

In our final section, we discuss examples not covered by our work, and suggest a possible alternative approach using the Plancherel formulas for our two main examples.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by NSERC Discovery Grant 402153-2011. He thanks E. Samei

and N. Spronk for several enlightening conversations over the years about the article [12], and various contributors to the MathOverflow website for tolerating simple-minded questions about Lie groups and their structure theory.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Weak and cyclic amenability for commutative Banach algebras

We assume familiarity with the basic definitions and properties of Banach algebras and Banach modules over them. We say that a bimodule M over a given algebra A is symmetric if $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$ for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. If A is an algebra and M is an A-bimodule, then a linear map $D : A \to M$ is said to be a derivation if it satisfies the Leibniz identity $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

In the case where A is a Banach algebra and $M = A^*$ is its dual, we say that a derivation $D : A \to A^*$ is cyclic if it furthermore satisfies $D(a)(b) = -D(b)(a)$ for all $a, b \in A$. (Motivation for considering cyclic derivations lies beyond the scope of the present paper, but some idea is given by the results and remarks of [9].)

The following definitions are due to Bade, Curtis and Dales [2] and Grønbæk [9]. We only give the definitions for *commutative* Banach algebras: for the appropriate generalizations to the non-commutative setting, the reader may consult the wider literature on weak and cyclic amenability.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. We say that A is weakly amenable if there is no non-zero, continuous derivation from A to any symmetric Banach A-bimodule. We say that A is cyclically amenable if there is no non-zero, continuous, cyclic derivation from A to A^*.

Remark 2.2. Clearly, weak amenability implies cyclic amenability. The converse is not true in general: any singly generated Banach algebra is cyclically amenable, as can be seen by looking at the values a continuous cyclic derivation must take on powers of the generator, while there are many examples of singly generated Banach algebras –even finite dimensional ones – that support bounded, non-zero point derivations, and hence are very far from being weakly amenable.

The following well-known observations will be used in Sections 3 and 6, see also Proposition 2.4 below. If A, B are commutative Banach algebras and $\theta : A \to B$ is a continuous homomorphism with dense range, then continuous, non-zero derivations on B can be pulled back along θ to give continuous, non-zero derivations on A. Consequently, if A is weakly amenable then so is B. Since cyclic derivations pull back to cyclic derivations, if A is cyclically amenable then so is B.

2.2 Coefficient functions and the Fourier algebra

To make the present paper more accessible to those whose background is in Banach algebras rather than abstract harmonic analysis, we use this subsection to review some background results and terminology. Our approach is heavily influenced by work of G. Arsac [1]; see also the master's thesis of C. Zwarich [16] for a good summary and exposition.

We start in some generality. Let G be a locally compact group. A continuous unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{H}$ is a group homomorphism π of G into the group of unitary operators $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ which is WOT-continuous, i.e. for every vector ξ and η in $\mathcal{H}$, the function

$$\xi *_\pi \eta : G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta\rangle$$

is continuous. (For unitary representations, WOT-continuity is equivalent to SOT-continuity; the latter is often used instead in the definition.) We denote unitary equivalence of two representations π and σ by $\pi \simeq \sigma$. The collection of irreducible, continuous unitary representations modulo unitary equivalence is denoted by $\widehat{G}$.

Functions of the form $\xi *_\pi \eta$, for vectors ξ and η in $\mathcal{H}$, are called the coefficient functions of G associated with the representation π. Following [4], we denote by $\mathrm{B}(G)$ the set of all the coefficient functions of G. This is in fact a subalgebra of $C_b(G)$, known as the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of G. Moreover we may identify $\mathrm{B}(G)$ with the dual Banach space of the full group C^*-algebra $\mathrm{C}^*(G)$. (The idea, briefly, is that a coefficient function $\xi *_\pi \eta$ may be identified with the functional $a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)\xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$.) Equipped with this norm and the algebra structure inherited from $C_b(G)$, $\mathrm{B}(G)$ becomes a Banach algebra.

Let λ denote the left regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$. Eymard [4, Ch. 3] showed that the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{B}(G)}$-closure of the algebra $(C_C \cap \mathrm{B})(G)$ coincides with the closed subspace generated by coefficient functions associated to λ. This closed subalgebra, denoted by $\mathrm{A}(G)$, is the Fourier algebra of G. In fact, every element of $\mathrm{A}(G)$ can be realized as a coefficient function asoociated to λ, and we have

$$\|u\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} = \inf\{\|\xi\|_2\|\eta\|_2 : u = \xi *_\lambda \eta\}. \tag{2.1}$$

In many sources, the Fourier algebra of G is *defined* to be the set of coefficient functions of λ, and then shown to be an algebra using the “absorption’ properties of λ. (See [16, §4.1] for a quick exposition of this approach.)

Remark 2.3. If G is a locally compact *abelian* group, one can identify $\mathrm{A}(G)$ and $\mathrm{B}(G)$ with the L^1-algebra and the measure algebra, respectively, of $\widehat{G}$.

Now let H be a closed subgroup of G, and let $\imath^* : C_0(G) \to C_0(H)$ be the restriction homomorphism. It turns out that $\imath^*$ maps $\mathrm{A}(G)$ contractively onto $\mathrm{A}(H)$: this is originally due to C. Herz, but an approach using spaces of coefficient functions was given by G. Arsac [1]. (A fairly self-contained account of Arsac's approach can be found in [16, §4].) Recalling our earlier remarks about derivations on Banach algebras, we therefore have the following well known result, whose proof we omit.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a locally compact group and H a closed subgroup. If $\mathrm{A}(H)$ is not weakly amenable, then $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is not weakly amenable. If $\mathrm{A}(H)$ is not cyclically amenable, then $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is not cyclically amenable.*

Spaces of coefficient functions associated to a fixed representation Proofs of the results stated here may be found in Arsac's thesis [1]; see also [16, §3.5].

Let π be a continuous unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. We define $\mathrm{A}_\pi(G)$ to be the closed subspace of $\mathrm{B}(G)$ generated by the coefficient functions of G associated with π, i.e.

$$\mathrm{A}_\pi = \overline{\mathrm{lin}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{B}(G)}}\{\xi *_\pi \eta : \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi\}.$$

There is a natural quotient map of Banach spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pi \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \overline{\mathcal{H}_\pi} \to \mathrm{A}_\pi$, where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the projective tensor product of Banach spaces. (See [1, th. 2.2].) Usually this map is not injective, but it will be if π is irreducible (this follows by taking the adjoint of this map and using Schur's lemma).

In general, $\mathrm{A}_\pi(G)$ consists of all coefficient functions u that can be written in the form

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n ,$$

where ξ_n and η_n belong to $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_i\|\|\eta_i\| < \infty$. Moreover, for every u in $\mathrm{A}_\pi(G)$,

$$\|u\|_{\mathrm{B}(G)} = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_i\|\|\eta_i\| : \ u \text{ represented as above}\},$$

and the infimum is attained. That is, given $u \in \mathrm{A}_\pi$, we can always write it as an absolutely convergent sum $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n$ where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\|\|\eta_n\| = \|u\|$.

An irreducible representation π is called square-integrable if there is some nonzero square-integrable coefficient function associated to π. A representation π is square-integrable if and only if it is equivalent to a sub-representation of λ, in which case $\mathrm{A}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathrm{A}_\lambda(G) = \mathrm{A}(G)$. It follows from the Peter–Weyl theorem that all irreducible representations of a *compact* group are square-integrable. Importantly for us, both the $ax + b$ group and the reduced Heisenberg group also have in some sense “enough square-integrable representations” that techniques used in the compact case can be adapted to handle these two non-compact groups.

2.3 The case of compact groups

Although the focus of our paper is on certain non-compact groups, our approach is informed by properties of Fourier algebras of compact groups, which we now briefly review. When G is a compact group, $\mathrm{A}(G) = \mathrm{B}(G)$, so $\mathrm{A}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathrm{A}(G)$ for all continuous unitary representations π. Moreover there is an ℓ^1-direct sum decomposition of Banach spaces

$$\mathrm{A}(G) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \mathrm{A}_\pi(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_\pi \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \overline{\mathcal{H}_\pi} \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The Schur orthogonality relations for coefficient functions of irreducible representations are as follows: given $\pi, \sigma \in \widehat{G}$ and $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ and ξ_2, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$, we have

$$\langle \xi_1 *_\pi \eta_1 , \xi_2 *_\sigma \eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi \not\simeq \sigma \\ \dim(\pi)^{-1} \langle \xi_1 , \xi_2 \rangle \langle \eta_2 , \eta_1 \rangle & \text{if } \pi = \sigma \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

3 Revisiting Johnson's result

In this section, to reduce congested notation we will abbreviate $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to SO(3) and SU(2) respectively.

Johnson proves in [12, §7] that the Fourier algebra of SO(3) is not weakly amenable. In fact, he shows (Theorem 7.4, *ibid.*) that this algebra supports a non-zero *cyclic derivation* –

that is, a derivation D from the algebra to its dual which is an antisymmetric when regarded as a bilinear form on the algebra. It is the concrete construction given in the proof of this result, rather than the general machinery developed in the rest of his paper, which forms the basis for our approach to the $ax + b$ group and the reduced Heisenberg group. In this section we review his construction, giving a slightly different presentation of the ideas, which will generalize in a better way to non-compact groups.

For technical reasons, we work not on SO(3) but on its double cover SU(2). Note that the covering map $\mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$ induces an isometric inclusion of algebras $\imath : \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \to \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) = \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$. Hence, to prove that neither A(SO(3)) nor A(SU(2)) are weakly amenable, it suffices to construct a bounded derivation $D : \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2))^*$ and check that $D(\imath(f))(\imath(g)) \neq 0$ for some $f, g \in \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SO}(3))$.

Given a well-behaved compact Riemannian manifold M, one naturally obtains derivations on $C^\infty(M)$ by taking partial derivatives along some vector field. For compact Lie groups this can be done in a down-to-earth way. We consider the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and, guided by the calculations of [12, §7], make the following definitions. For $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$s_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $p \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, $f \in C^1(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ let

$$\partial_\phi f(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} f(ps_\phi) \bigg|_{\phi=0} \equiv \lim_{\phi \to 0} \frac{f(ps_\phi) - f(p)}{\phi} \ .$$

(The fact that the family $(s_\phi)_{\phi \in \mathbb{R}}$ generates a maximal torus of SU(2) is not directly needed, but in some senses underlies the estimates we use later.) Clearly ∂_ϕ defines a continuous derivation $C^1(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to C(\mathrm{SU}(2))$. Define $D_\flat : C^1(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \times C(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$D_\flat(f, g) = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} (\partial_\phi f) g \, d\mu \, .$$

Then $D_\flat$, viewed as a linear map $C^1(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to C(\mathrm{SU}(2))^*$, is a derivation. What is less obvious – and was proved, in effect, by Johnson – is that $D_\flat$ satisfies the inequality

$$|D_\flat(f)(g)| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathrm{A}} \|g\|_{\mathrm{A}} \tag{\dagger}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials f and g, and some constant C. (Here and in the rest of this section, we denote the norm on A(SU(2)) by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{A}}$ for sake of legibility.) From this it is routine to deduce that $D_\flat$ extends to a bounded linear map $D : \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2))^*$; since $D_\flat$ is a non-zero derivation, so is D.

Johnson proves the inequality (†) using an auxiliary algebra $\mathrm{A}_\gamma(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, which consists of the convolution products of elements of $\mathrm{A}(G)$, and hence is only well-defined for compact groups. Since we have non-compact examples in mind we take a different approach, and consider directly the effect of ∂_ϕ on coefficient functions of each $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$. We find that

$$\partial_\phi(\xi *_\pi \eta)(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \langle \pi(p)\pi(s_\phi)\xi, \, \eta \rangle \bigg|_{\phi=0} = ((D^\pi_\phi \xi) *_\pi \eta)(p)$$

where D^π_ϕ is defined to be the operator $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} s_\phi\right|_{\phi=0} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$. In particular, ∂_ϕ maps each coefficient space $\mathrm{A}_\pi(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ to itself. Taking ℓ^1-summable linear combinations of coefficient functions, and using the Schur orthogonality relations (2.3), we have the following: given $\pi, \sigma \in \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$ and $u \in \mathrm{A}_\pi(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, $w \in \mathrm{A}_\sigma(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} (\partial_\phi u)\overline{w}\, d\mu &= 0 && \text{if } \pi \not\simeq \sigma \\ \left| \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} (\partial_\phi u)\overline{w}\, d\mu \right| &\leq \dim(\pi)^{-1} \|D^\pi_\phi\| \|u\|_{\mathrm{A}} \|w\|_{\mathrm{A}} && \text{if } \pi = \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) = \bigoplus_\pi \mathrm{A}_\pi(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ and complex conjugation of representations is a bijection of $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$, we arrive at the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} (\partial_\phi f) g\, d\mu \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}} \frac{\|D^\pi_\phi\|}{\dim(\pi)} \right) \|f\|_{\mathrm{A}} \|g\|_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in \mathrm{Trig}(\mathrm{SU}(2)).$$

To finish off we only need to prove the following inequality:

$$\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}} \dim(\pi)^{-1} \|D^\pi_\phi\| < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

This calculation was done in [12, §7]: if we take the standard realization of $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$, then s_ϕ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as a diagonal matrix with entries $e^{in\phi/2}, e^{i(n-2)\phi/2}, \ldots, e^{-in\phi/2}$. It follows that $\|D^\pi_\phi\| \leq \dim(\pi)/2$ and we have the required uniform bound, completing the proof that $D_\flat$ extends to a bounded derivation $D : \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \to \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SU}(2))^*$.

Finally, let π be the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and let ξ be any vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\langle D^\pi_\phi \xi, \xi \rangle \neq 0$. Then $f = \xi *_\pi \xi \in \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SO}(3))$ and we find that

$$D(\imath(f))(\imath(\overline{f})) = \frac{1}{3} \langle D^\pi_\phi \xi, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \neq 0$$

so that $\imath^* D$ is a non-zero, bounded derivation from $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SO}(3)^*)$ to its dual, as required.

Note that we only needed knowledge of the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ in order to get a suitable estimate on the norms of the operators D^π_ϕ.

Remark 3.1. As remarked in [12, §7], the same method would work on any other compact connected Lie group G, provided that one can choose a suitable homomorphism $s : \mathbb{R} \to G$ for which $D^\pi_\phi := (\partial/\partial\phi)\pi(s_\phi)|_{\phi=0}$ satisfies a bound analogous to (3.1). Plymen [15] observed that this proviso is always met for every non-abelian, compact, connected Lie group G. However, inspection of his argument shows that it proceeds by locating a closed subgroup of G isomorphic to either $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and so we may appeal instead to Proposition 2.4. This is, for instance, the approach taken in [8].

Remark 3.2 (An approach via the Plancherel formula). In [12], the Fourier algebra of a compact group is considered as the collection of functions on the group whose "non-abelian Fourier series" converges absolutely. (This is the older point of view on the Fourier algebra, predating Eymard's paper.) More precisely, given a compact group G and $f \in \mathrm{A}(G)$,

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{A}} = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \dim(\pi) \|\pi(f)(\pi)\|_1$$

where $\pi : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ is the algebra homomorphism obtained by integrating the unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, and $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the trace-class norm. (Compare this with the identity (2.2).) One also has the Plancherel formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \dim(\pi) \operatorname{Tr}(\pi(f)\pi(g)^*).$$

Now, in the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is easily verified that for any $f \in \mathrm{Trig}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ we have $\pi(\partial_\phi f) = \pi(f)(D^\pi_\phi)^*$. Combining this with the Plancherel formula and the inequality (3.1), one obtains an alternative proof of the desired inequality (†). We will return briefly to this theme at the end of the paper.

4 The $ax + b$ group

How can we extend or adapt the argument of Section 3 to non-compact cases? There are two convenient features that we exploited when G is compact: we can decompose $\mathrm{A}(G)$ as a direct sum of coefficient spaces of *irreducible* representations; and coefficient functions of irreducible representations satisfy explicit orthogonality relations. Groups whose Fourier algebras have the first property are called AR-groups and it turns out that one can find connected, non-compact examples; the price one pays is that these examples are usually non-unimodular. For such groups there are generalized versions of the Schur orthogonality relations, although non-unimodularity means they are not as straightforward as in the compact case, as we shall see.

One of the simplest examples of a non-compact, connected AR-group is the so-called "real $ax + b$ group". To be precise, we define it to be the group G of orientation-preserving affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R}^*_+, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ should be interpeted as "the positive part of the multiplicative group of the field $\mathbb{R}$", and it carries a natural Haar measure $t^{-1}dt$.

The group G can be identified with the semidirect product $\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ = \{(b, a) : b \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}^*_+\}$, where $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ acts on $\mathbb{R}$ by multiplication. Recall that the left Haar measure of G is (up to a constant multiple) given by $d\mu(a, b) = a^{-2} da\, db$, where da and db both denote the Haar measure of $\mathbb{R}$. The modular function of G is $\Delta(a, b) = \frac{1}{a}$.

Outline of our construction. For f a "suitable" function on G, the new function $\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b f$ defined by

$$(\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b f)(b, a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} a \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}(b, a) \qquad (b \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}^*_+)$$

will be well-defined and belong to $C_0(G) \cap L^1(G)$. If we now define a bilinear map $D_\flat$ (on some suitable dense subalgebra of $\mathrm{A}(G)$) by

$$D_\flat(f, g) := \int_G (\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b f)(b, a) g(b, a) \; d\mu(b, a)$$

then $D_\flat$ satisfies the Leibniz identity, in the sense that

$$D_\flat(fg,h) = D_\flat(g,hf) + D_\flat(f,gh) \quad \text{for } f,g,h \text{ “suitable” functions on } G.$$

The operator $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_\flat$ is chosen in such a way that

$$|D_\flat(v)(w)| \leq \|v\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}\|w\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \quad \text{when } v \text{ and } w \text{ are “convenient”};$$

this estimate will follow from the orthogonality relations for $\mathrm{A}_{\pi_\pm}$. The orthogonality relations also show explicitly that $D_\flat$ is not identically zero. Provided that “convenient” functions are “suitable” and are dense in $\mathrm{A}(G)$, we may then take the unique continuous extension of $D_\flat$ to a bounded bilinear map $D : \mathrm{A}(G) \times \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$. Finally we use continuity arguments to show that D satisfies the same identity as $D_\flat$, but this time for all functions in $\mathrm{A}(G)$ and not just the “suitable” ones.

To make this outline into a proof, we need to replace “suitable” and “convenient” by precise conditions. In doing so, the product of convenient functions might not be convenient, in which case the last part of our task – showing that the continuous extension of $D_\flat$ is still a derivation – is not as immediate as one might expect. We can get round this using the following lemma, which is stated in a general setting of Banach algebras and dense subspaces to show that the ideas involved are not limited to our particular example.

Lemma 4.1 (Continuous extensions of derivations). *Let A be a Banach algebra and let V be a dense linear subspace. Let B be a subspace of A that contains V and $V \cdot V = \{fg \colon f,g \in V\}$.*

Suppose that $D_\flat : B \times B \to \mathbb{C}$ is a bilinear map with the following properties:

- (i) *for each $w \in V$, the linear maps $D_\flat(\underline{\quad}, w) : B \to \mathbb{C}$ and $D_\flat(w, \underline{\quad}) : B \to \mathbb{C}$ are $\|\cdot\|_A$-continuous;*
- (ii) *$D_\flat(fg,h) = D_\flat(g,hf) + D_\flat(f,gh)$ for all $f,g,h \in B$;*
- (iii) *there is a constant C such that $|D_\flat(v,w)| \leq C\|v\|_A\|w\|_A$ for all $v,w \in V$.*

Then there is a unique continuous linear map $D : A \to A^*$ which, when viewed as a bilinear form on A, agrees with $D_\flat$ on $V \times V$. Moreover,

$$D(b)(v) = D_\flat(b,v) \text{ and } D(v)(b) = D_\flat(v,b) \quad \text{for all } b \in B \text{ and } v \in V,$$

and D is a derivation from A to A^*.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of a continuous linear map $D : A \to A^*$ satisfying

$$D(v,w) = D_\flat(v,w) \quad \text{for all } v,w \in V \tag{$*$}$$

follows from (iii) by standard functional analysis. Then, by (i), if $w \in V$ then $D(\underline{\quad}, w)$ and $D_\flat(\underline{\quad}, w)$ are $\|\cdot\|_A$-continuous maps agreeing on a $\|\cdot\|_A$-dense subset of B, and hence they agree on all of B. Similarly, $D(v,y) = D_\flat(v,y)$ for all $v \in V$ and $y \in B$.

Finally, to show $D : A \to A^*$ is a derivation, we must prove that

$$D(ab)(c) = D(b)(ca) + D(a)(bc)$$

for all $a,b,c \in A$. Since V is dense in A, it suffices by continuity to prove this for all $a,b,c \in V$. But since $V + V \cdot V \subseteq B$ and D agrees with $D_\flat$ on the subset $(B \times V) \cup (V \times B)$, the desired identity now follows from (ii). ☐

To apply Lemma 4.1 in the case of $\mathrm{A}(G)$, we need to choose an appropriate dense subspace on which the desired bounds can be verified. This requires us to consider coefficient functions of certain representations.

4.1 Coefficient functions for the $ax+b$ group

It is well known that the irreducible unitary representations of G can be found by identifying it with $\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$ and using the Mackey machine for induced representations. It follows from this method that (up to unitary equivalence) there are exactly two infinite-dimensional irreducible representations in $\widehat{G}$, which we denote by π_+ and π_-. These have various different realizations, and readers should beware that different standard sources for non-abelian harmonic analysis often differ in their choices. We follow the description used in [5, 13].

We realize both π_+ and π_- as representations on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+, dt/t)$, as follows:

$$\pi_\pm(b,a)\xi(t) := e^{\mp 2\pi i b t}\xi(at). \tag{4.1}$$

Then the coefficient functions of π_+ and π_- have the following explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi *_{\pi_+} \eta)(b,a) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi i b t}\xi(at)\overline{\eta(t)}\, t^{-1} dt, \\ (\xi *_{\pi_-} \eta)(b,a) &= \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi i b t}\xi(at)\overline{\eta(t)}\, t^{-1} dt \qquad (\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We denote the closed subspaces of $\mathrm{A}(G)$ generated by the coefficient functions of π_+ and π_- to A_{π_+} and A_{π_-} respectively, suppressing mention of G.

Proposition 4.2 (Decomposition of $\mathrm{A}(G)$). *There is an ℓ^1-direct sum decomposition* $\mathrm{A}(G) = \mathrm{A}_{\pi_+} \oplus_1 \mathrm{A}_{\pi_-}$.

A proof of this, using the Plancherel formula for G, is given in [13, Théorème 4]. Since the Plancherel theorem itself is not entirely straightforward for this group, and is somewhat technical to prove, we provide an alternative argument in the appendix.

Note that for ξ and η in $\mathcal{H}$, we have $\overline{\xi *_{\pi_+} \eta} = \overline{\xi} *_{\pi_-} \overline{\eta}$, i.e. $\overline{\pi_+}$ and π_- are unitarily equivalent via the identity map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$ to $\overline{L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+)}$. Thus, $\overline{A_{\pi_+}} = A_{\pi_-}$. To deal with sums of coefficient functions, it is useful to introduce the bounded *linear* maps

$$\Psi : \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathrm{A}_{\pi_+} \quad , \quad \Psi(\xi \otimes \overline{\eta}) = \xi *_{\pi_+} \eta \tag{4.3a}$$

and

$$\overline{\Psi} : \overline{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathrm{A}_{\pi_+}} = \mathrm{A}_{\pi_-} \quad , \quad \overline{\Psi}(\overline{\xi} \otimes \eta) = \overline{\xi} *_{\pi_-} \overline{\eta} = \overline{\Psi(\xi \otimes \overline{\eta})} \tag{4.3b}$$

Note that Ψ is a surjective quotient map, by definition. Since π_+ is irreducible, Ψ is also injective, and hence a surjective isometry. The same is true for $\overline{\Psi}$.

Coefficient functions of π_+ and π_- can be expressed in terms of the classical Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$. To avoid ambiguity we pause to fix some notational conventions.

NOTATION (Normalization for the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$). Elements of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ will be denoted by χ_b, where $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi_b(x) = e^{2\pi i b x}$, and we normalize Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}$ so that the correspondence $b \leftrightarrow \chi_b$ is measure-preserving. We then define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ to be the unique *unitary* map that satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\chi_b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{\chi_b(x)}\, dx \qquad \text{for all } f \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R}), b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Our choice of normalization in the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ follows the choices in [6, Chapter 4]. See the remarks following [6, Coroll. 4.2.3] for further explanations.)

Given $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, let ${}_a\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be given by ${}_a\xi(y) = \xi(ay)$; note that $\xi \mapsto {}_a\xi$ is an isometry on $\mathcal{H}$, and so by Cauchy–Schwarz, ${}_a\xi\overline{\eta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^*_+, t^{-1}dt)$. So if we consider an operator K^{-1} defined formally by

$$(K^{-1}f)(t) = t^{-1}f(t) \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}^*_+)$$

then $K^{-1}({}_a\xi\overline{\eta}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^*_+, dt)$. Now let $\imath : L^1(\mathbb{R}^*_+) \to L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the inclusion map, and regard $\mathcal{F}$ as a map $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$. Then

$$(\xi *_{\pi_+} \eta)(b,a) = \mathcal{F}(\imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi\overline{\eta}))(\chi_b) \quad \text{and} \quad (\xi *_{\pi_-} \eta)(b,a) = \mathcal{F}(\imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi\overline{\eta}))(\chi_{-b}). \tag{4.4}$$

DEFINITION 4.3. We say that a coefficient function in A_{π_+} or A_{π_-} is convenient if it is generated by vectors in the subspace $C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^*_+) \subset \mathcal{H}$. That is, a coefficient function f is convenient if and only it can be written as $f = \xi *_{\pi_\pm} \eta$ for some $\xi, \eta \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$. Define $\mathcal{C}_+$ to be the subspace of A_{π_+} generated by convenient coefficient functions associated to π_+, define $\mathcal{C}_-$ analogously, and put $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_+ + \mathcal{C}_- \subset \mathrm{A}(G)$.

Since $C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, every coefficient function in $\mathrm{A}_{\pi_\pm}$ can be approximated in norm by a convenient coefficient function in $\mathcal{C}_\pm$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_\pm$ is a dense subspace of $\mathrm{A}_{\pi_\pm}$, and since $\mathrm{A}(G) = \mathrm{A}_{\pi_+} \oplus_1 \mathrm{A}_{\pi_-}$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathrm{A}(G)$.

The formulas (4.2) and the dominated convergence theorem show that each $f \in \mathcal{C}$ is differentiable in the b-direction. Moreover, if $\xi, \eta \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$, a direct calculation yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} a \frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\xi *_{\pi_\pm} \eta)(b,a) &= \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial b} e^{\mp 2\pi i b t}\right) a\xi(at)\overline{\eta(t)}\, t^{-1}dt \\ &= \pm \int_0^\infty e^{\mp 2\pi i b t} at\, \xi(at)\overline{\eta(t)}\, t^{-1}dt \\ &= \pm (K\xi *_{\pi_\pm} \eta)(b,a)\ . \end{aligned}$$

In more concise notation, using the maps Ψ and $\overline{\Psi}$ that were defined earlier,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \mathrm{M}_a\partial_b\, \Psi(\xi \otimes \overline{\eta}) &= \Psi(K\xi \otimes \overline{\eta}) \\ \mathrm{M}_a\partial_b\, \overline{\Psi}(\overline{\xi} \otimes \eta) &= -\overline{\Psi}(K\overline{\xi} \otimes \eta) \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^*_+). \tag{4.5}$$

(That is, Ψ and $\overline{\Psi}$ intertwine the densely-defined operator $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b$ with the densely defined operators $K \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $-K \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ respectively.)

LEMMA 4.4 (Convenient functions behave well). $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathrm{A} \cap L^1)(G)$.

Proof. By (4.5), since $K(C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)) = C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b$ takes convenient coefficient functions to convenient coefficient functions, and hence by linearity $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. This gives the first inclusion.

For the second inclusion, it suffices to prove that convenient coefficient functions are integrable; and since $\mathcal{C}_- = \overline{\mathcal{C}_+}$, it is enough to consider the convenient coefficient functions associated to π_+.

So, let $\xi, \eta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and put $f = \xi *_{\pi_+} \eta$. (The case of π_- is handled similarly). Recall from (4.4) that

$$f(b,a) = \mathcal{F}(\imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi\overline{\eta}))(\chi_b) \qquad (a \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, b \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}(\xi)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\eta)$ are compact subsets of $(0,\infty)$ and $({}_a\xi\overline{\eta}(t)) = \xi(at)\overline{\eta(t)}$, we see that ${}_a\xi\overline{\eta} = 0$ for all a outside some compact subset $S \subset (0,\infty)$. Hence $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times S$. It now suffices to show that $\sup_{a\in S} \|f(\cdot,a)\|_{L^1\mathbb{R}} < \infty$.

Observe that

$$\imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi\overline{\eta} = {}_a\imath(\xi) \cdot \imath(K^{-1}\overline{\eta})$$

and that both $\imath(\xi)$ and $\imath(K^{-1}\overline{\eta})$ both belong to $C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$. We now use the following properties of the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$:

(i) if $h \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ then h and $\mathcal{F}(h)$ are integrable;

(ii) if g_1, g_2, $\mathcal{F}(g_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(g_2)$ are all integrable, then g_1g_2 is integrable and $\mathcal{F}(g_1g_2) = \mathcal{F}(g_1) * \mathcal{F}(g_2)$;

(iii) if g and $\mathcal{F}(g)$ are integrable, and ${}_ag(t) := g(at)$, then $\|\mathcal{F}({}_ag)\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} = \|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})}$.

(The last property can be verified by direct calculation, but it is also a special case of the general fact that continuous automorphisms of a locally compact group, in this case $\mathbb{R}$, induce *isometric* automorphisms of its Fourier algebra.) Together, these properties imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(\cdot,a)\|_{L^1\mathbb{R}} &= \|\mathcal{F}({}_a\imath(\xi)) * \mathcal{F}(\imath(K^{-1}\overline{\eta}))\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} \\ &\le \|\mathcal{F}({}_a\imath(\xi))\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} \; \|\mathcal{F}(\imath(K^{-1}\overline{\eta}))\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} \quad = \|\mathcal{F}(\imath(\xi))\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} \; \|\mathcal{F}(\imath(K^{-1}\overline{\eta}))\|_{L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})} \,.\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the required uniform bound on L^1-norms, and hence concludes the proof. ☐

COROLLARY 4.5 (A convenient algebra). *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the not-necessarily closed subalgebra of* $\mathrm{A}(G)$ *generated by the set of convenient coefficient functions. Then* $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq (\mathrm{A} \cap L^1)(G)$

Proof. By linearity it is enough to prove that $\mathrm{M}_a\partial_b(f) \in (\mathrm{A} \cap L^1)(G)$ whenever f is a product of finitely many convenient coefficient functions. This follows by induction, using the product rule and the inclusions from Lemma 4.4. ☐

4.2 Orthogonality relations and estimates for our derivation

Consider the following densely defined, symmetric operator on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$(K\xi)(t) = t\xi(t) \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*).$$

Note that K^{-1} is also densely defined and symmetric, and is given by $(K^{-1}\xi)(t) = t^{-1}\xi(t)$. We have already made use of K^{-1} in other calculations.

Remark 4.6. K is the so-called Duflo–Moore operator for the representations π_+ and π_-. It is a special case of a more general construction due to Duflo and Moore in [3] (see also [5] for a more detailed look at this operator when G is the affine group of a locally compact, non-discrete field.) To keep our arguments self-contained, we will not rely on the results of [3]; the $ax+b$ group is sufficiently simple that it would take more effort to precisely translate those results into our setting, than to just carry out the necessary calculations directly.

The following identities are special cases of known results. Since various treatements in the literature of the $ax+b$ group may adopt different conventions/normalizations, and in some case work with different (but unitarily equivalent) representations, we give a full statement and proof of these identities for sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.7 (Explicit orthogonality relations). *Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$. Then*

$$\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_+} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_+} \eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle \eta_2, \eta_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_1, K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{4.6a}$$

$$\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_-} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_-} \eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle \eta_2, \eta_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_1, K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{4.6b}$$

$$\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_+} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_-} \eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(G)} = 0. \tag{4.6c}$$

Proof. We already know that convenient coefficient functions belong to $(C_0 \cap L^1)(G)$, so certainly they belong to $L^2(G)$; thus the inner products on the left-hand sides of (4.6a), (4.6b) and (4.6c) are all well-defined.

We treat (4.6a) first. By (4.4), and unitarity of $\mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_+} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_+} \eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(G)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_1 *_{\pi_+} \eta_1(b,a) \overline{\xi_2 *_{\pi_+} \eta_2(b,a)}\, db\, \frac{da}{a^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \langle \mathcal{F}\imath(K^{-1}({}_a\xi_1\overline{\eta_1})),\, \mathcal{F}\imath(K^{-1}({}_a\xi_2\overline{\eta_2})) \rangle_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})}\, \frac{da}{a^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \langle \imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi_1\overline{\eta_1}),\, \imath K^{-1}({}_a\xi_2\overline{\eta_2}) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\, \frac{da}{a^2}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

But now, direct calculation shows this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\xi_1(ab)\overline{\eta_1(b)}}{b} \frac{\overline{\xi_2(ab)}\eta_2(b)}{b}\, db\, \frac{da}{a^2} \\
= &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\xi_1(ab)\overline{\xi_2(ab)}}{ab^2} \overline{\eta_1(b)}\eta_2(b) \frac{da}{a} db \\
= &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\xi_1(a)\overline{\xi_2(a)}}{ab} \overline{\eta_1(b)}\eta_2(b) \frac{da}{a} db \\
= &\ \langle \eta_2, \eta_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_1), K^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the change of variable $a \mapsto \frac{a}{b}$, and the fact that $\frac{da}{a}$ is invariant under multiplication. This shows that (4.6a) holds. The proof that (4.6b) holds is similar and we omit the details.

To prove that (4.6c) holds, consider the operators $V_\pm : \mathcal{H} \to L^2(G)$, $V_\pm(\eta)(b,a) = \langle \eta, \pi_\pm(b,a)\xi_\pm\rangle$, where

$$\xi_+ = \frac{\xi_1}{\|K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_1\|_{\mathcal{H}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_- = \frac{\xi_2}{\|K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

It follows from the first two orthogonality relations that V_+ and V_- are isometries, intertwining λ with π_+ and π_- respectively. Thus $V_-^*V_+$ intertwines π_+ and π_-, so equals 0 by Schur's lemma. Hence

$$\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_+} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_-} \eta_2\rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle V_{\xi_2}(\eta_2), V_{\xi_1}(\eta_1)\rangle_{L^2(G)} = 0$$

as required. ☐

Remark 4.8. With some book-keeping, one can show that the orthogonality relations of our proposition hold in slightly greater generality: namely, whenever $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \operatorname{dom}(K^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Since we only needed orthogonality for convenient coefficient functions, we omit the details.

Proposition 4.9 (The key estimate). *Define a bilinear map $D_\flat : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$D_\flat(f,g) = \int_G (\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b f) g \, d\mu = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_G a \frac{\partial}{\partial b} f(b,a) g(b,a) \, d\mu(b,a) \ . \tag{4.7}$$

Then $|D_\flat(f,g)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \|g\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$. We may write $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $g_1 = g_1 + g_2$ where $f_1, g_1 \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $f_2, g_2 \in \mathcal{C}_-$; moreover, $\|f\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} = \|f_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} + \|f_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}$ and $\|g\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} = \|g_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} + \|g_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}$.

Observe that by (4.5), the orthogonality relations in Proposition 4.7, and the fact that $\overline{A_{\pi_+}} = A_{\pi_-}$, we have

$$D_\flat(f_1, g_1) = \langle \mathrm{M}_a \partial_b(f_1), \overline{g_1}\rangle_{L^2(G)} = 0$$
$$D_\flat(f_2, g_2) = \langle \mathrm{M}_a \partial_b(f_2), \overline{g_2}\rangle_{L^2(G)} = 0$$

Define the contractive linear map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H} \widehat{\otimes} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{\otimes} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi(\xi_1 \otimes \overline{\eta_1} \otimes \overline{\xi_2} \otimes \eta_2) = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2\rangle \langle \eta_2, \eta_1\rangle.$$

and recall that $\Psi \otimes \overline{\Psi} : \mathcal{H} \widehat{\otimes} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{\otimes} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{A}_{\pi_+} \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{A}_{\pi_-}$ is an isometric isomorphism. We claim that

$$D_\flat(f_1, g_2) = \Phi \circ (\Psi \otimes \overline{\Psi})^{-1})(f_1 \otimes g_2).$$

Proof of claim. By linearity, it suffices to verify this in the special case when f_1 and g_2 are convenient coefficient functions (associated to π_+ and π_- respectively). So, suppose that

$$f_1 = \Psi(\xi_1 \otimes \overline{\eta_1}) \quad , \quad g_2 = \overline{\Psi}(\overline{\xi_2} \otimes \eta_2) = \overline{\Psi(\xi_2 \otimes \overline{\eta_2})}$$

for some $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$. Then (4.5) and (4.6a) give

$$\begin{aligned} D_\flat(f_1, g_2) &= \int_G (\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b f_1) g_2 \, d\mu \\ &= \langle \Psi(K\xi_1 \otimes \overline{\eta_1}),\, \Psi(\xi_2 \otimes \overline{\eta_2})\rangle_{L^2(G)} \\ &= \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}} K\xi_1,\, K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_2\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle \eta_2,\, \eta_1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle \xi_1, \xi_2\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle \eta_2, \eta_1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \qquad = \Phi \circ (\Psi \otimes \overline{\Psi})^{-1}(f_1 \otimes g_2), \end{aligned}$$

as required. This proves our claim.

Arguing similarly, we also have

$$D_\flat(f_2, g_1) = \Phi \circ (\overline{\Psi} \otimes \Psi)^{-1}(f_2 \otimes g_1).$$

Hence, putting everything together,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\flat(f_1 + f_2, g_1 + g_2)| &= |D_\flat(f_1, g_2) + D_\flat(g_1, f_2)| \\ &\leq |D_\flat(f_1, g_2)| + |D_\flat(g_1, f_2)| \\ &\leq \|f_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \|g_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} + \|g_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \|f_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \\ &\leq (\|f_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} + \|f_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)})(\|g_1\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} + \|g_2\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}) \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \|g\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition is proved. ☐

We now have everything in place.

THEOREM 4.10. *There is a continuous extension of $D_\flat$ to a non-zero, bounded, cyclic derivation $D : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathrm{A}(G)^*$. In particular,* $\mathrm{A}(G)$ *is not cyclically amenable, so is not weakly amenable.*

Proof. We first note that the bilinear map $D_\flat : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero, since

$$D_\flat(\xi *_{\pi_+} \xi, \overline{\xi *_{\pi_+} \xi}) = \|K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 \|\xi\|^2$$

whenever $\xi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra generated by the convenient coefficient functions. We wish to apply Lemma 4.1 to the bilinear map $D_\flat : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, with $A = \mathrm{A}(G)$, $V = \mathcal{C}$ and $B = \mathcal{B}$. Recall for sake of clarity that

$$D_\flat(f, g) = \int_G \mathrm{M}_a \partial_b(f) g \, d\mu = -\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty a \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}(b, a) g(b, a) \, db \frac{da}{a} \qquad (f, g \in \mathcal{B}).$$

By Corollary 4.5 we have $\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq (\mathrm{A} \cap L^1)(G)$. So we may extend $D_\flat$ to a well-defined bilinear map $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$, which is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}$-continuous – in the second variable. (This is the place where we need $\mathrm{M}_a \partial_b$ to take convenient functions to *integrable* ones.) On the other hand, integrating by parts (which is justified, since $M_a \partial_b(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq C_0(G)$) we see that $D_\flat$ is an *anti-symmetric* bilinear map on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$; therefore it is also $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{A}(G)}$-continuous in the *first* variable.

Thus conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4.1 hold. We already know by Proposition 4.9 that condition (iii) of that lemma holds. Applying the lemma, we see that D is a non-zero derivation $\mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathrm{A}(G)^*$; it is a cyclic derivation, since $D_\flat$ is antisymmetric bilinear form. ☐

5 The reduced Heisenberg group

We define the reduced Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_r$ to be the set $\{(p,q,e^{2\pi i\theta}) : p,q \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in [0,1)\}$, with group multiplication defined as

$$(p,q,e^{2\pi i\theta}) \cdot (p',q',e^{2\pi i\theta'}) = (p+p', q+q', e^{2\pi i(\theta+\theta')}e^{\pi i(pq'-qp')}). \tag{5.1}$$

This is a 2-step nilpotent Lie group, with centre $Z(\mathbb{H}_r) = \{(0,0,z)\colon z \in \mathbb{T}\}$. Haar measure is easily described: give $\mathbb{T}$ the normalized Haar measure $d\theta$, and $\mathbb{R}$ usual Lebesgue measure dp. Then the left Haar measure μ of $\mathbb{H}_r$ is defined by $d\mu(p,q,e^{2\pi i\theta}) = dp\,dq\,d\theta$, which is a right Haar measure as well.

To analyze the Fourier algebra of $\mathbb{H}_r$, the following representations play a key role

Definition 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$. The Schrödinger representation $\mathsf{Sch}_n : \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ is defined by

$$\mathsf{Sch}_n(p,q,e^{2\pi i\theta})\xi(x) = e^{2\pi inq(-x+\frac{p}{2})}e^{2\pi in\theta}\xi(-p+x).$$

It is known that every Sch_n is irreducible.

As with the real $ax+b$ group, we would like to decompose $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ as a direct sum of coefficient spaces of integrable representations. This is not quite possible, as $\mathbb{H}_r$ is not an AR-group. However, it turns out that a sufficiently large part of $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ can be decomposed in this way – namely, the part generated by coefficient functions associated to the Schrödinger representations. This will be enough for us to carry out the same approach as in Section 4.2.

5.1 Coefficient functions associated to the Schrödinger representations

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$. Given $\xi,\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we note that

$$\overline{\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta} = \overline{\xi} *_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-n}} \overline{\eta}$$

As in Section 4, it will be useful to write coefficient functions associated to Sch_n in terms of the classical Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)(p,q,e^{2\pi i\theta}) \quad = \quad e^{2\pi in\theta}\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(nq), \tag{5.2}$$

where $({}_a\xi)(t) := \xi(t-a)$, and $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform (with the same normalization used in Section 4).

Proposition 5.2. *Let n be a nonzero positive integer, and $\xi,\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)$, with*

$$\|\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta\|^2 = \frac{1}{n}\|\xi\|_2^2\|\eta\|_2^2\,. \tag{5.3}$$

In particular, the representation Sch_n is square-integrable.

Proof. Fix $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a unitary isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(nq)|^2 dq \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(q)|^2 dq \\
&= \quad \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(q-\frac{p}{2})|^2|\eta(q+\frac{p}{2})|^2 dq \\
&= \quad \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(q)|^2|\eta(q+p)|^2 dq < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{[0,1)} |e^{2\pi i n\theta}\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(nq)|^2 d\theta\, dq\, dp &= \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{[0,1)} |\xi(q)|^2|\eta(q+p)|^2 d\theta\, dq\, dp \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(q)|^2|\eta(q+p)|^2 dq\, dp = \frac{1}{n}\|\xi\|_2^2\|\eta\|_2^2\,.\end{aligned}$$

Putting these together yields Equation (5.3) as required. ☐

PROPOSITION 5.3 (Explicit orthogonality relations). *Let* $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, *and* $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_n} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_n} \eta_2\rangle_{L^2(G)} &= \frac{1}{n}\langle \eta_2, \eta_1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\langle \xi_1, \xi_2\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \\ \langle \xi_1 *_{\pi_n} \eta_1, \xi_2 *_{\pi_m} \eta_2\rangle_{L^2(G)} &= 0, \quad \textit{whenever } n \neq m.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first identity follows from Equation (5.3) and polarization. The second is proved in a similar way to Proposition 4.7, using the fact that these representations are irreducible, square-integrable and inequivalent. ☐

Let $\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ denote the closed subspace of $\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ generated by the subspaces $\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that $\bigoplus_{0\neq n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{Sch}_n$ is a subrepresentation of the left regular representation λ of $\mathbb{H}_r$, and so $\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r) \subseteq \mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$. Moreover, by Corollary 3.13 and Theorem 3.18 of [1] (see also Proposition 3.5.18 in [16]), we can write $\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ as an ℓ^1-direct sum $\bigoplus_{0\neq n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}(\mathbb{H}_r)$.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *We have an* ℓ^1*-direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r) = \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r) \oplus \mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r : \mathbb{T}),$$

where $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r : \mathbb{T})$ *is the closed subspace of all functions in* $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ *that are constant on the subgroup* $\{(0,0,z) : z \in \mathbb{T}\} \cong \mathbb{T}$.

Although this decomposition appears to be known to specialists, we were unable to locate an explicit statement of it in the literature. We therefore give a proof in the appendix, which uses general results from [1] but is otherwise self-contained.

5.2 Constructing a cyclic derivation on $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$

Our approach is very similar to the one we used for the real $ax + b$ group, and once again we use Lemma 4.1. Let

$$V = \mathrm{lin}\{\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta : \xi, \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), 0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}\} + (\mathrm{A} \cap C_c)(\mathbb{H}_r : \mathbb{T})\,,$$

and let B denote the algebra generated by V. Let $D_\flat : B \times B \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined as

$$D_\flat(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f(x, y, e^{2\pi i\theta}) g(x, y, e^{2\pi i\theta}) dx\, dy\, d\theta. \tag{5.4}$$

The following lemma ensures that $D_\flat$ is well-defined.

LEMMA 5.5. *$\partial_\theta(B) \subseteq B \subseteq (\mathrm{A} \cap L^1)(\mathbb{H}_r)$, where ∂_θ denotes the operation of taking partial derivation with respect to θ.*

Proof. To show the first inclusion, it is enough to see that $\partial_\theta(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)$ belongs to V for every nonzero integer n, and $\xi, \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, by the explicit formula for a matrix coefficient function, we have $\partial_\theta(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta) = 2\pi in(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)$, since

$$\partial_\theta(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)(x, y, e^{2\pi i\theta}) = \partial_\theta(e^{2\pi in\theta}\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(nq)) = 2\pi ine^{2\pi in\theta}\mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{p}{2}}\xi\ {}_{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{\eta})(nq).$$

For the second inclusion, one can show that $V \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{H}_r)$, and then use the product rule. The argument is very similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, and we omit the details. ☐

Finally, we are ready for the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 5.6. *There is a continuous extension of $D_\flat$ to a non-zero, bounded, cyclic derivation $D : \mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r) \to \mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)^*$. In particular, $A(\mathbb{H}_r)$ is not cyclically amenable, hence is not weakly amenable.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 4.1 to $A = \mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$, and V and B defined as above. It is easy to see that, because of its definition, $D_\flat$ satisfies the Leibniz identity on B. Also, $D_\flat$ is nonzero, since

$$D_\flat(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta\, ,\, \overline{\xi} *_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-n}} \overline{\eta}) = D_\flat(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta\, ,\, \overline{\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta}) = \frac{2\pi in}{n}\|\xi\|^2\|\eta\|^2.$$

We now check that condition (i) of Lemma 4.1 is satisfied. This is very similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 4.10: an analogous argument shows that $D_\flat$ is an antisymmetric bilinear map, and since $\partial_\theta(B) \subseteq L^1$, $D_\flat$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathbb{H}_r}}$-continuous in the second variable, hence it is also $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathbb{H}_r}}$-continuous in the first variable.

To check Condition (iii), first let $v = \xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta$ and $w = \xi' *_{\mathsf{Sch}_m} \eta'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_\flat(v, w)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} v(x, y, e^{2\pi i\theta}) w(x, y, e^{2\pi i\theta}) dx\, dy\, d\theta\right| \\
&= \left|\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)\, ,\, (\overline{\xi' *_{\mathsf{Sch}_m} \eta'})\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)}\right| \\
&= |\langle 2\pi in(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta)\, ,\, (\overline{\xi'} *_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-m}} \overline{\eta'})\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|D_\flat(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta\, ,\, \xi' *_{\mathsf{Sch}_m} \eta')| = 0$ if $n \neq -m$, and for the case of $n = -m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_\flat(v, w)| &= |D_\flat(\xi *_{\mathsf{Sch}_n} \eta, \xi' *_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-n}} \eta')| \\
&= |\frac{2\pi in}{n}\langle \xi, \overline{\xi'}\rangle\langle \overline{\eta'}, \eta\rangle| \\
&\leq 2\pi\|\xi\|\|\xi'\|\|\eta\|\|\eta'\| = 2\pi\|v\|_{\mathrm{A}}\|w\|_{\mathrm{A}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus Condition (iii) holds for coefficient functions in V. Identifying $A_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\widehat{\otimes}A_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-n}}$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\overline{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\widehat{\otimes}\overline{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\widehat{\otimes}L^2(\mathbb{R})$, one can extend the above result for the case where the functions v and w are linear combinations of coefficient functions of Sch_n and Sch_m respectively. (This is similar to the argument in the proof of Proposition 4.9.) In addition, note that $D(v,w)=0$ if either v or w belongs to $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})$.

Finally, let $v=\sum_{n=-N}^{N}v_n$ and $w=\sum_{m=-N}^{N}w_m$, where $v_0,w_0\in(\mathrm{A}\cap C_0)(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})$, and v_k and w_k are each finite combinations of coefficient functions associated with Sch_k respectively. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_\flat(v,w)| &= \left|\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\sum_{m=-N}^{N}D_\flat(v_n,w_m)\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=-N}^{N}\sum_{m=-N}^{N}|D_\flat(v_n,w_m)| \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{N}|D_\flat(v_n,w_{-n})|+\sum_{n=1}^{N}|D_\flat(v_{-n},w_n)| \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{N}2\pi\|v_n\|_{\mathrm{A}}\|w_{-n}\|_{\mathrm{A}}+\sum_{n=1}^{N}2\pi\|v_{-n}\|_{\mathrm{A}}\|w_n\|_{\mathrm{A}} \\
&\leq 2\pi\left(\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\|v_n\|_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\left(\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\|v_n\|_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \\
&= 2\pi\|v\|_{\mathrm{A}}\|w\|_{\mathrm{A}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the ℓ^1-decomposition of $A(\mathbb{H}_r)$ obtained in Proposition 5.4. □

6 Application to Fourier algebras on other Lie groups

By Proposition 2.4 and the results of the previous sections, if we wish to show $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is not cyclically amenable, it suffices to show that G contains a closed copy of any of the following groups: $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$, or the real $ax+b$ group. In this section we will use structure theory for Lie groups to show that many connected Lie groups have closed copies of these key examples, and hence have Fourier algebras which are not cyclically amenable.

Let us review some definitions and fix some terminology; our sources are [10] for general background results in Lie theory, and [14] for the Iwasawa decomposition. Note that we are following the convention that "simply connected" means "trivial π_0 and π_1". Some other authors use the terminology "connected and simply connected" for this property.

Definition 6.1 (Semisimple Lie groups). Let G be a Lie group. The (solvable) radical of G, denoted by $\mathrm{rad}(G)$, is the largest solvable, connected subgroup of G. If $\mathrm{rad}(G)=\{e_G\}$ then we say G is semisimple.

The following standard result was mentioned in passing in Section 3, but we restate it for emphasis.

Proposition 6.2. *Every compact, connected, non-abelian Lie group contains either a closed copy of* $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ *or* $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

An outline of the proof can be found in Plymen's note [15]. The key point is that the Lie algebra of such a group is a semisimple real Lie algebra, and basic structure theory for such algebras implies the existence of a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathsf{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. One then exponentiates this subalgebra and appeals to further results from Lie theory to show that the subgroup generated in this way is closed.

The next result seems equally well known to specialists, but we were unable to locate an explicit statment in the literature.

Proposition 6.3. *Every non-compact semisimple Lie group contains a closed subgroup isomorphic to the $ax + b$ group.*

Our proof relies on the Iwasawa decomposition of such a group: see, for instance, [14, §VI.4] It incorporates some suggestions communicated to the author by V. Protsak.

Proof. Let G be such a group and let g be its Lie algebra. The Iwasawa decomposition of g exhibits it as a direct sum of subalgebras $\mathsf{g} = \mathsf{k} \oplus \mathsf{a} \oplus \mathsf{n}$, where a is abelian and n is nilpotent. Since G is not compact, the construction of the Iwasawa decomposition ensures we also have the following properties:

(i) both a and n are non-zero;

(ii) for each $x \in \mathsf{a}$, the operator $\operatorname{ad}_x : \mathsf{g} \to \mathsf{g}$ is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues, and maps n to n.

(See [14, Proposition 6.43 and Lemma 6.45] for details.) In particular, there exists $u \in \mathsf{a}$ such that $\operatorname{ad}_u : \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{n}$ has eigenvalue 1. Let v be any corresponding eigenvector, and let $\mathsf{h} = \mathbb{R}u + \mathbb{R}v$ (this is in fact a direct sum, since u and v are linearly independent). Note thatup to isomorphism of Lie groups, the $ax + b$ group is the unique simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra h.

Let AN be the subgroup of G obtained by applying the exponential map $\exp_G : \mathsf{g} \to G$ to the subalgebra $\mathsf{a} \oplus \mathsf{n}$. By the proof or construction of the Iwasawa decomposition (see e.g. [14, Theorem 6.46]), AN is a closed and simply connected subgroup of G; by standard Lie theory, the same is therefore true for any subgroup obtained by applying $\exp_G$ to a subalgebra of $\mathsf{a} \oplus \mathsf{n}$. So putting $H = \exp_G(\mathsf{h})$ we see that H is a closed, simply connected, connected subgroup of G, whose Lie algebra is h; by the remarks of the previous paragraph, H is the desired copy of the $ax + b$ group. ☐

What about Lie groups which are not semisimple? Here matters become more complicated if the fundamental group is non-trivial, since subalgebras of the Lie algebra of a group do not in general exponentiate to give closed subgroups. We therefore restrict attention to the simply connected setting, where the passage between Lie algebras and Lie groups works best.

Proposition 6.4. *Let G be a simply connected Lie group that is not solvable. Then G contains a closed subgroup isomorphic to* $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ *or the $ax + b$ group.*

Proof. Let $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ be the largest normal solvable subgroup of G (the so-called solvable radical of G). Let g and r be the Lie algebras of G and $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ respectively. Then r is the largest solvable ideal in g, and it is a proper ideal since G is not solvable. The quotient Lie algebra

$\mathsf{s} := \mathsf{g}/\mathsf{r}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra, i.e. it has no non-zero solvable ideals. By Levi's theorem (e.g. [10, Thm 5.6.6]) there is a Lie subalgebra $\mathsf{s} \subseteq \mathsf{g}$ such that $\mathsf{g} \cong \mathsf{r} \rtimes \mathsf{s}$. Since G is simply connected, this decomposition can be exponentiated to an isomorphism of Lie groups $G \cong \mathrm{rad}(G) \rtimes S$, where S is the unique simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra s. (See e.g. [14, §1.12].) Since s is a non-zero semisimple Lie algebra, S is a non-trivial, connected semisimple Lie group. The rest now follows from Propositions 6.2 and 6.3. ☐

We immediately obtain the final theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.5. *Let G be a simply connected Lie group such that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is cyclically amenable. Then G is solvable, and contains no closed copy of the $ax + b$ group.*

7 Closing remarks

It has been conjectured that if G is a locally compact group, then $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is weakly amenable if and only if the connected component of the identity in G is abelian. (This was stated formally in [7] but it seems likely that it was asked informally on earlier occasions.)

In particular, if G is a connected non-abelian Lie group, then its Fourier algebra should not be weakly amenable. Theorems 5.6 and 6.5 provide further supporting evidence for this weaker conjecture. Natural examples to try next are: the motion group of the plane, $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and its covering groups; and the "full" Heisenberg group. Both of these are Type I groups and so once can try to analyse their Fourier algebras in terms of their irreducible representations.

We finish the paper with remarks on a possible alternative approach to our results. With hindsight, our calculations for the $ax + b$ group and the reduced Heisenberg group can be interpreted in terms of the Plancherel formulas for those groups, since the key to both constructions is an estimate of expressions of the form $\langle \partial f,\, g\rangle_{L^2(G)}$ for some kind of "partial differentiation operator ∂".

For $\mathbb{H}_r$, the Plancherel formula takes the form

$$\langle f,\, g\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} f \; \overline{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} g} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} |n| \operatorname{Tr}(\mathsf{Sch}_n(f)\mathsf{Sch}_n(g)^*)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} : L^2(\mathbb{H}_r) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ averages along the embedded copy of $\mathbb{T}$. (We did not find this identity stated explicitly in the sources we consulted, but it can be proved by adapting the standard proofs of the Plancherel formula for the *full* Heisenberg group.) Taking this for granted, and using the same orthogonality techniques as in Section 5, some work shows that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)} = \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} f\|_{\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} |n| \|\mathsf{Sch}_n(f)\|_1$$

If ∂_θ is as defined in Section 5, then by arguing as in Remark 3.2, one can show that

$$|\int_{\mathbb{H}_r} \partial_\theta(f) g| \leq 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} |n|^2 \|\mathsf{Sch}_n(f)\mathsf{Sch}_n(g)^*\|_1 \leq 2\pi \|f\|_{\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)} \|g\|_{\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)}$$

provided f and g are sufficiently well-behaved, and then extending by continuity gives us the desired derivation.

There is also a Plancherel formula for the $ax+b$ group, but because of non-unimodularity it has a more complicated form than one might expect from the compact case, and requires extra technical details, in particular the unbounded operator K that played a role in Section 4. (See [5, 13] for details.) Nevertheless, if one glosses over caveats concerning the domains of validity of certain identities, a very similar argument can be used to give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.10.

For the two cases treated in this paper, coefficient functions seemed to provide a more direct and secure approach. On the other hand, the Plancherel perspective may be useful for other examples which do not have a large supply of square-integrable representations, and hence where an analysis in terms of coefficient functions of irreducible representations seems less promising. We intend to pursue this further in forthcoming work.

A Decomposing Fourier algebras

We give a proof of Proposition 4.2 that is independent of the calculations in [13].

Let G denote the $ax+b$ group. Since π_+ and π_- are inequivalent square-integrable unitary representations of G, $\mathrm{A}_{\pi_+}(G) \oplus \mathrm{A}_{\pi_-}(G)$ is an ℓ^1-direct sum inside $\mathrm{A}(G)$. (See Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.13 of [1], or [16, Proposition 3.5.18].)

For a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, let $f_+ = f 1_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ where $1_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_+$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(f)(b) = \mathcal{F}(f_+)(b) + \mathcal{F}(\check{f}_+)(-b)$, where $\check{f}(x) = f(-x)$. Let $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote the subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then,

$$\mathrm{lin}\{\mathcal{F}(f), \mathcal{F}(\check{f}) : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$$

is a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Identifying $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*)$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, we define the operators Φ, Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 on $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes^2 C_c(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\xi \otimes \eta)(b,a) &= \xi(ab)\eta(b), \\
\Psi_1(\xi \otimes \eta)(b,a) &= \mathcal{F}(\xi)(b)\eta(a), \\
\Psi_2(\xi \otimes \eta)(b,a) &= \mathcal{F}(\xi)(-b)\eta(a).
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that $(\Psi_1 \circ \Phi)(\xi \otimes K^{-1}\overline{\eta}) = \xi *_{\pi_+} \eta$ and $(\Psi_2 \circ \Phi)(\xi \otimes K^{-1}\overline{\eta}) = \xi *_{\pi_-} \eta$, for compactly supported ξ and η. Note that the maps Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are homeomorphisms when considered as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, and Φ contains $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes C_c(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ in its range. So, by density of $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the linear span of the set

$$\left\{(\Psi_1 \circ \Phi \circ (I \otimes K^{-1}))(\xi \otimes \eta), (\Psi_2 \circ \Phi \circ (I \otimes K^{-1}))(\xi \otimes \eta) : \xi, \eta \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)\right\} = \{\xi *_{\pi_\pm} \eta : \xi, \eta \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$$

forms a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*)$. We now use the orthogonality relations stated in Proposition 4.7 to show that the set defined above has a dense span inside $\mathrm{A}(G)$ as well. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \lambda(x)(\xi *_{\pi_+} \eta)\,,\, \xi' *_{\pi_+} \eta' \rangle &= \langle \xi *_{\pi_+} \pi_+(x)\eta\,,\, \xi' *_{\pi_+} \eta' \rangle = \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi\,,\, K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi' \rangle \overline{\langle \pi_+(x)\eta, \eta' \rangle} \\
\langle \lambda(x)(\xi *_{\pi_-} \eta)\,,\, \xi' *_{\pi_-} \eta' \rangle &= \langle \xi *_{\pi_-} \pi_-(x)\eta\,,\, \xi' *_{\pi_-} \eta' \rangle = \langle K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi\,,\, K^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi' \rangle \overline{\langle \pi_-(x)\eta, \eta' \rangle} \\
\langle \lambda(x)(\xi *_{\pi_+} \eta)\,,\, \xi' *_{\pi_-} \eta' \rangle &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

Next we give a proof of Proposition 5.4.

First note that $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})\cap\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r)=\{0\}$ by the explicit formulae for coefficient functions given in Equation (5.2). By Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.13 of [1], $\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}}(\mathbb{H}_r)\oplus\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})$ is an ℓ^1-direct sum inside $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$. Therefore it suffices to show this subspace is also dense in $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$.

Since $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\simeq L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the linear span of the set $\{\xi\otimes\eta:\xi,\eta\in C_c(\mathbb{R})\}$ forms a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. Fix a nonzero integer n, and consider the operators Φ, Ψ, and ϕ_n on $L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\xi\otimes\eta)(x,y) &= \xi(y-\frac{x}{2})\eta(y+\frac{x}{2}),\\
\Psi(\xi\otimes\eta)(x,y) &= \xi(x)\mathcal{F}(\eta)(y),\\
\phi_n(\xi\otimes\eta)(x,y) &= (\xi\otimes\eta)(x,ny),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the usual Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly, Φ and Ψ are isometric isomorphisms, while ϕ_n is invertible. Thus, the set

$$A_n=\{(\phi_n\circ\Psi\circ\Phi)(\xi\otimes\eta):\xi,\eta\in C_c(\mathbb{R})\}$$

has dense linear span in $L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, since $C_c(\mathbb{R})\otimes C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is $\|\cdot\|_2$-dense in $C_c(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_n\circ\Psi\circ\Phi)(\xi\otimes\eta)(x,y) &= I\otimes\mathcal{F}(\Phi(\xi\otimes\eta))(x,ny)\\
&= \int\Phi(\xi\otimes\eta)(x,w)e^{-2\pi inyw}\,dw\\
&= \int\xi(w-\frac{x}{2})\eta(w+\frac{x}{2})e^{-2\pi inyw}\,dw\\
&= \mathcal{F}({}_{-\frac{x}{2}}\xi\,{}_{\frac{x}{2}}\eta)(ny).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $A:=\{u\otimes\chi_n:u\in A_n,\chi_n\in\widehat{\mathbb{T}}\}$. Observe that

$$A=\{\xi*_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\eta:\xi,\eta\in C_c(\mathbb{R}),0\neq n\in\mathbb{Z}\}\cup L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\otimes\chi_0,$$

and its linear span is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)$, since $L^2(\mathbb{H}_r)\simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\otimes^2 L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Clearly the set $\{f*_\lambda g:\ f,g\in A\}$ has a dense span in $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$ as well. Using the orthogonality relations stated in Proposition 5.3, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\lambda(x)(\xi*_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\eta)\,,\,\xi'*_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\eta'\rangle &= \langle\xi*_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\mathsf{Sch}_n(x)\eta\,,\,\xi'*_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}\eta'\rangle\\
&= \langle\xi\,,\,\xi'\rangle\overline{\langle\mathsf{Sch}_n(x)\eta,\eta'\rangle}\\
&= \langle\xi\,,\,\xi'\rangle\,\overline{\eta}*_{\mathsf{Sch}_{-n}}\overline{\eta'},
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $n\neq0$, and $(u\otimes\chi_0)*_\lambda(u'\otimes\chi_0)\in\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})$. It is easy to check that for every other choice of f and g in A, that is when they are associated with χ_m and χ_n where $n\neq m$, we have $f*_\lambda g=0$. Therefore, $\ell^1-\bigoplus_{0\neq n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{Sch}_n}(\mathbb{H}_r)\oplus_1\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r:\mathbb{T})$ is a dense subset of $\mathrm{A}(\mathbb{H}_r)$, which finishes the proof. $\square$

References

[1] G. Arsac, *Sur l'espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d'une représentation unitaire*, Publ. Dép. Math. (Lyon), 13 (1976), pp. 1–101.

[2] W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, Jr., and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 55 (1987), pp. 359–377.

[3] M. Duflo and C. C. Moore, *On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group*, J. Functional Analysis, 21 (1976), pp. 209–243.

[4] P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 92 (1964), pp. 181–236.

[5] P. Eymard and M. Terp, *La transformation de Fourier et son inverse sur le groupe des $ax + b$ d'un corps local*, in Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie (Sém., Nancy-Strasbourg 1976–1978), II, vol. 739 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 207–248.

[6] G. B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[7] B. E. Forrest and V. Runde, *Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra*, Math. Z., 250 (2005), pp. 731–744.

[8] B. E. Forrest, E. Samei, and N. Spronk, *Weak amenability of Fourier algebras on compact groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 58 (2009), pp. 1379–1393.

[9] N. Grønbæk, *Weak and cyclic amenability for noncommutative Banach algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), 35 (1992), pp. 315–328.

[10] J. Hilgert and K.-H. Neeb, *Structure and geometry of Lie groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2012.

[11] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, vol. 127 of Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1972.

[12] B. E. Johnson, *Non-amenability of the Fourier algebra of a compact group*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 50 (1994), pp. 361–374.

[13] I. Khalil, *Sur l'analyse harmonique du groupe affine de la droite*, Studia Math., 51 (1974), pp. 139–167.

[14] A. W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, vol. 140 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[15] R. Plymen, *Fourier algebra of a compact Lie group*. Unpublished manuscript, see arXiv math.FA/0104018, 2001.

[16] C. Zwarich, *Von Neumann algebras for harmonic analysis*, Master's thesis, University of Waterloo, 2008.

Yemon Choi and Mahya Ghandehari
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
McLean Hall, University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon (SK), Canada S7N 5E6
E-mails: `choi@math.usask.ca`, `ghandehari@math.usask.ca`